Public Document Pack

Executive Decision Records

Please find set out below a number of Executive Decisions taken at the HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Health Decision Day held at the Castle, Winchester on Wednesday, 18th March, 2020

- 1. OUTCOME ON THE CONSULTATION ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL'S LEARNING DISABILITY RESPITE SERVICES
- 2. DEMAND MANAGEMENT AND PREVENTION GRANTS OVER £5K



Item 1

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Health
Date:	18 March 2020
Title:	Outcome of the consultation and recommendations on proposed changes to Hampshire County Council's learning disability respite services
Report From:	Director of Adults' Health and Care

Contact name: Jessica Hutchinson

Tel: 01962 847966 Email: Jessica.hutchinson@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

1.1 That the Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Health:

- a) Agrees to the reduction in the number of respite beds offered at Orchard Close respite service from 13 to 10.
- b) Agrees to the proposals to generate income from Hampshire County Council's other learning disability respite services by marketing a limited amount of spare bed capacity to increase their income from other public bodies as set out in this report.
- c) Agrees that the changes to the four respite services as set out in this report should come into effect from 1 October 2020.

2. Reasons for the Decision

- 2.1 On 3 December the Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Health approved opening an eight-week public consultation on the proposals to reduce the number of beds at Orchard Close from 13 to 10 and to market capacity in the County Council's other three learning disability respite services were developed.
- 2.2 A public consultation ran from 16 December 2019 until 9 February 2020. The feedback on the consultation on the future of the learning disability respite services revealed that 41% of people were in agreement with the proposals to reduce the number of beds at Orchard Close whilst 34% disagreed. With regards to the proposals to market spare capacity in the other three learning disability services, 55% of people were in agreement with the proposals whilst 22% disagreed.
- 2.3 The recommendations contained within this report would enable the County Council to continue to run a respite service at Orchard Close, whilst still achieving estimated savings of £285,000. However, they still leave a £332,000 shortfall against the original savings target of £617,000.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

- 3.1 Commissioning another organisation to run the respite service at Orchard Close this was rejected as it was highlighted during the engagement that this option would not necessarily deliver any identified benefits, although it was recognised that it may have provided modest cost reductions.
- 3.2 For carers, service users and/or other interested people to form a group that takes responsibility for running a service at Orchard Close this was rejected as following careful consideration, particularly by the Voluntary Sector, Carer and Officer working group it would only have been considered as a contingency option, should the County Council not run the service and would not be their first choice.
- 2.1 Income generation at Orchard Close this option was rejected as initial enquiries have shown that other local authorities and Clinical Commissioning Groups are interested in sourcing respite services for those who have challenging needs and/ or physical disabilities in addition to their learning disability. There are just four accessible rooms at Orchard Close of which two have ceiling track hoists and none have ensuite facilities. These rooms are in regular use by Hampshire residents, and are therefore not available to be used by other public bodies.
- 2.2 To close the respite service at Orchard Close this option was rejected as it was the subject of an earlier consultation which led the Executive Member for Adult Social care and Health to request other options be considered.

4. Conflicts of interest

- 4.1 Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None
- 4.2 Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: Not applicable
- 5 Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6 Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7 Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	18 March 2020
Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Health Councillor Liz Fairhurst	

Item 2

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Health
Date:	18 March 2020
Title:	Demand Management and Prevention Grants
Report From:	Director of Adults' Health and Care

Contact name:

Sarah Snowdon

Peter Stokes

Tel: 01962 845389 Email: Peter.stokes@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

1.1 That approval be given by the Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Health to award the following grants:

- i) To award Citizens Advice Havant a grant totalling £35,000 to cover a 2 year period between 1 May 2020 and 30 April 2022 as part of the Local Solutions Grant fund as detailed below.
- ii) To award Totton and Ealing Volunteers a grant totalling £12,500 to cover a twelve month period between 1 May 2020 and 30 April 2021 as part of the Local Solutions Grant as outlined below.

2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1 The Voluntary and Community Sector (VCS) contributes to improving people's quality of life. The grants programme is one of the ways in which the County Council supports the sector to support people to live fuller more independent lives.
- 2.2 The decision supports the aim of the Demand Management and Prevention Programme to reduce, delay and/or divert demand on Adults' Health and Care by supporting individuals on the cusp of care within their local communities in Hampshire so that they are able to lead healthy, happy independent lives for as long as possible

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1 Not to offer and award the grants contained within the report. This option was rejected because the grants programme is one of the ways in which the County Council supports the sector to support people to live fuller more independent lives and to reduce the demand for County Council services.

- 4. Conflicts of interest
- 4.1 Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None
- 4.2 Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: Not applicable
- 5 Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.
- 6 Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.
- 7 Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
	18 March 2020
Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Health Councillor Liz Fairhurst	